

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

**IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER
PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES,
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

**MDL NO. 16-2738 (FLW) (LHG)
JUDGE FREDA L. WOLFSON
MAG. JUDGE LOIS H. GOODMAN**

LOIS W. MOTSINGER,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., AND JOHNSON &
JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC.,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

DIRECT FILED ACTION

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this *Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial* against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint* in *In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 1 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

1. Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder product(s): Lois W. Motsinger.
2. At the time of the filing of the specific case, Plaintiff(s) is/are a citizen of Columbus, Ohio.
3. Consortium Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for loss of consortium: n/a.
4. Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:

Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when she suffered the talcum powder product(s) related death: _____

5. Plaintiff/Decedent was born on June 24th, 1928 and died on n/a.
6. Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the _____ of the _____, having been duly appointed as the _____ by the _____ Court of _____.
_____.
_____.
7. As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:

injury to herself
 injury to the person represented
 wrongful death
 survivorship action
 economic loss
 loss of services

_____ loss of consortium
_____ other: _____

Identification of Defendants

8. Plaintiff(s)/Decedent Plaintiff(s) is/are using the following Defendant(s) (please check all that apply)¹

Johnson & Johnson
 Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.
Imerys Talc America, Inc. (“Imerys Talc”)
Personal Care Products Council (“PCPC”)

Additional Defendants:

Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify): _____

JURISDICTION & VENUE

Jurisdiction:

9. Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:

Diversity of Citizenship
 Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in sufficient detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure). _____

Venue:

¹ If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific Plaintiff(s) as to whom this *Short Form Complaint* applies, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Defendants against whom they are alleged must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to this *Short Form Complaint*.

10. District Court(s) and Division (if any) in which venue was proper where you might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the direct filing Order entered by this Court and to where remand could be ordered by the Judicial Panel for trial: United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio.

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

11. Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State): Columbus, Ohio.
12. At the time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis with a talcum powder product(s) injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State): Winston Salem, North Carolina.
13. The Plaintiff/Decedent was diagnosed with a talcum powder product(s) injury in (City, State): Winston Salem, North Carolina on approximately March 5th, 2004 (date).
14. To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent began using talcum powder product(s) on or about the following dates: 1944 and continued the use of talcum powder product(s) through about the following date: 2004.
15. The Plaintiff/Decedent purchased talcum powder product(s) in the following State(s): North Carolina.
16. Plaintiff/Decedent used the following talcum powder products:
 - ✓ Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder
 - Shower to Shower

CAUSES OF ACTION

17. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.
18. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and*

Jury Demand are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff(s):

Count I: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)

✓ Count II: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

Count III: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)

✓ Count IV: Products Liability – Strict Liability – Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)

✓ Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)

✓ Count XI: Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

✓ Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)

✓ Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State of North Carolina (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants).

Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)

- ✓ Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)
- ✓ Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)
- Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)
- ✓ Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)
- ✓ Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)
- Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)
- Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)
- Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph nine (9) above. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. _____

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: March 19, 2021

Respectfully Submitted by,

DALIMONTE RUEB LLP

By: /s/ Jennifer L. Orendi
Jennifer L. Orendi (DC #489615)
John A. Dalimonte (MA #554554)
Gregory D. Rueb (CA #154589)
1250 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
202-538-2790 (Tel)
202-261-3508 (Fax)
jorendi@drlawllp.com
john@drlawllp.com
greg@drlawllp.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF